SUNDAY SCHOOL NOVEMBER 2, 2025

Prayer:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Old Testament

Isaiah 1:10-18

Context: Isaiah urges True Worship through Justice & Mercy

GOD REJECTS EMPTY WORSHIP

Hear the word of the Lord,
you rulers of Sodom!
Listen to the teaching of our God,
you people of Gomorrah!

What to me is the multitude of your sacrifices?
says the Lord;
I have had enough of burnt offerings of rams
and the fat of fed beasts;
I do not delight in the blood of bulls
or of lambs or of goats.

When you come to appear before me,
who asked this from your hand?
Trample my courts no more!

Bringing offerings is futile;
incense is an abomination to me.
New moon and Sabbath and calling of convocation—
I cannot endure solemn assemblies with iniquity.

Your new moons and your appointed festivals
my soul hates;
they have become a burden to me;
I am weary of bearing them.

When you stretch out your hands,
I will hide my eyes from you;
even though you make many prayers,
I will not listen;
your hands are full of blood.

Wash yourselves; make yourselves clean;
remove your evil deeds
from before my eyes;
cease to do evil;
learn to do good;
seek justice;
rescue the oppressed;
defend the orphan;
plead for the widow.

Come now, let us argue it out,
    says the Lord:
If your sins are like scarlet,
    will they become like snow?
If they are red like crimson,
    will they become like wool?

OVERVIEW

Isaiah 1:10–18 is a powerful prophetic indictment and invitation. God, through Isaiah, condemns the hollow religiosity of Judah and Jerusalem—ritual worship divorced from justice, mercy, and repentance. The passage calls the people to genuine moral reform: to "seek justice, correct oppression, defend the orphan, and plead for the widow." The section culminates with one of Scripture's most hopeful declarations:

"Though your sins are like scarlet, they shall be as white as snow."

BIBLICAL CONTEXT

Isaiah opens his book with a sweeping charge against Judah and Jerusalem. The prophet exposes the hypocrisy of God's people who perform sacrifices and attend temple rituals while living in moral decay and social injustice. This passage sits near the beginning of Isaiah's ministry, setting the tone for the entire book—combining judgment with hope.

Verses 10–18 particularly mirror prophetic themes seen in Hosea, Amos, and Micah: God desires righteousness, not rituals. The comparison of Judah to "Sodom and Gomorrah" is especially severe, signaling how far they have strayed. The chapter then transitions from judgment to grace, showing that repentance leads to restoration.

HISTORICAL / NON-BIBLICAL / POLITICAL CONTEXT

Isaiah ministered during the 8th century BCE (approx. 740–700 BCE), during the reigns of kings Uzziah, Jotham, Ahaz, and Hezekiah. The kingdom of Judah was politically unstable, threatened by Assyria's growing empire, and internally corrupted by social injustice, bribery, and idolatry.

While the temple in Jerusalem was still active, worship had become superficial. Leaders exploited the poor, judges took bribes, and the rich flaunted piety while ignoring the oppressed. Politically, Judah sought alliances with pagan nations rather than relying on God's covenant promises.

Isaiah's message cut through the national pride and religious pretense: external sacrifices were meaningless without moral integrity. His audience would have been a mixture of the political elite, temple priests, and everyday citizens who participated in the rituals but ignored their ethical implications.

HISTORICAL TIMELINE / BIBLICAL TIMEFRAME

- **Approx. 740 BCE**: Isaiah's prophetic calling (Isaiah 6).
- **Uzziah's reign (792–740 BCE)**: Economic growth but moral decline.
- **Jotham and Ahaz's reigns (750–715 BCE)**: Political turmoil; Assyrian dominance rising.
- **Hezekiah's reign (715–686 BCE)**: Religious reforms began, but Isaiah's warnings remained urgent.

This passage likely falls early in Isaiah's ministry, when Judah's prosperity had blinded its people to their moral failures.

SCHOLARLY METAPHORICAL INTERPRETATION WITH MODERN-DAY & GRANT COUNTY CONTEXT

Scholars interpret this section as a metaphor for **spiritual pollution versus purification**. The "sacrifices" symbolize our ***outward acts of religion***—church attendance, tithing, or community service—when ***disconnected from inward transformation***. God calls for **ethical cleansing**, symbolized by washing and the transformation from scarlet to white.

In a **modern-day context**, Isaiah 1:10–18 challenges communities like Grant County—and the broader American church—to ***reflect on whether faith has become more ritual than relationship***.

- When worship is confined to Sunday services without carrying justice into weekday lives, Isaiah's words still echo: ***"Cease to do evil, learn to do good."***
- In local terms, it speaks to how churches and civic groups can move beyond symbolism—like food drives and prayer breakfasts—to structural compassion: supporting the hungry, the addicted, the unemployed, and the lonely in meaningful, ongoing ways.
    - ***What are those ways?***
- ***The "washing" metaphor might be likened to collective moral renewal: confronting complacency, gossip, or division within communities and replacing them with reconciliation and service.***

Isaiah's audience was religious but resistant to introspection. Similarly, modern believers must resist "checkbox Christianity"—doing good acts for appearance's sake while neglecting empathy and justice.

SUMMARY

Isaiah 1:10–18 confronts Judah's hypocrisy: the people honor God with rituals but dishonor Him through corruption and injustice. God rejects their sacrifices and calls them to repentance, symbolized by washing themselves clean. The famous closing verse offers hope: no matter how deep their sins, forgiveness and transformation are possible through obedience and justice.

In essence, **God's grace is conditional on sincerity**—rituals cannot replace repentance. For today's reader, especially in close-knit communities like Grant County, the message is timeless: **true worship is ethical living.** God's invitation to "reason together" remains open, offering purification not just of individuals but of entire communities when justice and compassion prevail.

Gospel

Luke 19:1-10

Context: Jesus meets Zacchaeus on his final journey to Jerusalem

A CHANGED HEART MEETS A SEEKING SAVIOR

He entered Jericho and was passing through it. A man was there named Zacchaeus; he was a chief tax collector and was rich. He was trying to see who Jesus was, but on account of the crowd he could not, because he was short in stature. So, he ran ahead and climbed a sycamore tree to see him, because he was going to pass that way.

When Jesus came to the place, he looked up and said to him, "Zacchaeus, hurry and come down, for I must stay at your house today."

So, he hurried down and was happy to welcome him. All who saw it began to grumble and said, "He has gone to be the guest of one who is a sinner."

Zacchaeus stood there and said to the Lord, "Look, half of my possessions, Lord, I will give to the poor, and if I have defrauded anyone of anything, I will pay back four times as much."

Then Jesus said to him, "Today salvation has come to this house, because he, too, is a son of Abraham. For the Son of Man came to seek out and to save the lost."

OVERVIEW

Luke 19:1–10 recounts the encounter between **Jesus and Zacchaeus**, a tax collector in Jericho. Zacchaeus, being short in stature, climbs a sycamore tree to see Jesus as He passes by. Jesus notices him, calls him by name, and declares His intention to stay at his house. This act of grace transforms Zacchaeus's heart—he pledges to give half of his possessions to the poor and repay anyone he has cheated fourfold. The passage concludes with Jesus proclaiming, ***"The Son of Man came to seek and to save the lost,"*** underscoring His mission of redemption and inclusion.

BIBLICAL CONTEXT

This story occurs near the end of Jesus's ministry, just before His triumphal entry into Jerusalem (Luke 19:28ff). It follows the healing of a blind man near Jericho (Luke 18:35–43), symbolizing both **physical and spiritual sight**. Zacchaeus's encounter continues this theme: his literal effort to *see* Jesus leads to his spiritual awakening.

Zacchaeus represents those despised by society—tax collectors were seen as traitors to their people, collaborating with Rome and enriching themselves through exploitation. Yet Luke often highlights such figures (e.g., Levi in Luke 5:27–32) to demonstrate that the Kingdom of God reverses social expectations: sinners, the poor, and the marginalized are offered grace, while the self-righteous often turn away.

HISTORICAL / NON-BIBLICAL / POLITICAL CONTEXT

In **first-century Judea**, tax collection was part of the Roman imperial system. Wealthy Jews would bid on contracts to collect taxes in certain regions, paying Rome upfront and then charging citizens extra for profit. This system bred resentment—tax collectors were not only collaborators but also exploiters.

Jericho, being a wealthy trade hub for balsam and palm products, made Zacchaeus's position particularly lucrative.

Politically, Rome's control over Judea depended on such collaborators to maintain financial stability and suppress dissent. Spiritually, tax collectors were often excluded from synagogue fellowship. By entering Zacchaeus's home, Jesus defied social taboos and religious hierarchies, symbolizing **reconciliation between God and those rejected by society**.

HISTORICAL TIMELINE / BIBLICAL TIMEFRAME

This event likely took place around **30–33 AD**, during Jesus's final journey to Jerusalem. Jericho, one of the oldest inhabited cities in the world, lay about 15 miles northeast of Jerusalem and served as a common rest stop for travelers and pilgrims heading toward the capital. This period was tense with political unrest, Roman taxation pressures, and growing anticipation of a messianic liberator—making Jesus's message of inward transformation and grace radically countercultural.

SCHOLARLY METAPHORICAL INTERPRETATION WITH MODERN-DAY & GRANT COUNTY CONTEXT

Scholars often view Zacchaeus as a **metaphor for spiritual curiosity and repentance**. His physical effort—climbing a tree—symbolizes the yearning of the human spirit to rise above the crowd and glimpse the divine. Jesus's response—calling him by name—illustrates divine initiative: God meets us where we are, even when we think we're just spectators.

In a **modern context**, this story reflects the tension between **status, reputation, and redemption**. Zacchaeus's wealth and social standing brought him isolation; his encounter with Jesus brought community. In **Grant County, Indiana**, this can parallel the divide between those who feel left out or judged—whether due to economic hardship, addiction recovery, or social reputation—and those who are comfortably within the church community. The challenge for local believers is to practice Jesus's form of radical hospitality: seeing people not as their labels, but as individuals capable of transformation.

Metaphorically, Jericho represents the "everyday place" where grace interrupts routine. For Grant County, it might be a coffee shop, Meijer parking lot, a school event or game, a food pantry, or a recovery group—ordinary spaces where the extraordinary love of Christ can turn a life around. Zacchaeus's restitution ("I'll repay fourfold") can serve as a modern model for **restorative justice and generosity** in a community setting—choosing reconciliation over resentment.

This reminds me a lot of "paying it forward" and not expecting anything in return.

SUMMARY

Luke 19:1–10 presents the story of Zacchaeus as a vivid example of **personal transformation and divine grace**. Jesus seeks out someone rejected by society and restores his dignity through fellowship. Zacchaeus's response—repentance and restitution—shows that true salvation involves both inward change and outward action. The story reinforces Luke's central theme: the Kingdom of God welcomes the outcast, redefines righteousness, and restores community.

In today's world—and in communities like Grant County—this passage challenges believers to embody that same inclusiveness: to look up, see those "in the trees," and invite them down to share life together.

Addendums

Living and Dying by the Sword

Last week, I relayed a story I'd heard in a book (*Separation of Church and Hate*): In the Garden of Gethsemane, Jesus told the disciples to get swords, but that the reason behind it was because an OT prophet had prophesied that this would happen and there would be criminals involved. So, Jesus was telling the disciples to get swords because he wanted them to look like criminals to fulfill the prophesy. Then, one disciples said that they had two swords already and Jesus said that was enough. Later, of course, he said "those that live by the sword, die by the sword."

It's one of the most frequently **misunderstood and context-removed moments in the Gospels**, and what was described aligns closely with what most **biblical scholars** (not polemicists) say Jesus was doing in that moment. (A **polemicist** is someone who engages in **polemics** — meaning **strong, combative writing or speech meant to attack or refute someone else's beliefs, ideas, or practices**.)

Let's unpack it carefully.

1. **The Passage -** The story is in **Luke 22:35–38**, which occurs right before the **Garden of Gethsemane** scene and Jesus' arrest.

   > Then Jesus asked them, "When I sent you without purse, bag or sandals, did you lack anything?"
   >
   > "Nothing," they answered.
   >
   > He said to them, "But now if you have a purse, take it, and also a bag; and if you don't have a sword, sell your cloak and buy one.
   >
   > "It is written: *'And he was numbered with the* ***transgressors****'*; and I tell you that this must be fulfilled in me. Yes, what is written about me is reaching its fulfillment."
   >
   > The disciples said, "See, Lord, here are two swords."
   >
   > "That's enough!" he replied.
   >
   > — *Luke 22:35–38 (NIV)*

2. **The Old Testament Prophecy**

   Jesus is quoting **Isaiah 53:12**: "He was numbered with the ***transgressors***."

   That prophecy from Isaiah's "Suffering Servant" passage predicts the Messiah would be treated as a ***criminal*** and executed among sinners.

   So, when Jesus tells them to get swords, the **purpose is not to fight**, but to **fulfill that prophecy** — that he and his followers would appear to the authorities as *armed rebels* or *bandits* (a common reason for Roman crucifixion).

   In modern language, you could say: *"We need to look the part — because prophecy says I'll be treated like a criminal."*

3. **"That's Enough!"**

   The disciples miss the metaphor entirely. They take it literally ("Here are two swords!"), and Jesus — perhaps sighing or cutting off the misunderstanding — replies, *"That's enough."*

Most scholars read that not as *"Two swords are sufficient for our defense"*, but as *"Enough! Stop taking this literally."* It's almost an expression of exasperation — a bit like *"That's enough of this talk."*

4. **The Confirmation at His Arrest**

   Later that night, Peter uses one of those swords in Gethsemane and cuts off the servant's ear (John 18:10 / Luke 22:50).

   Jesus immediately rebukes him: "Put your sword back in its place, for all who draw the sword will die by the sword." — *Matthew 26:52*

   That confirms Jesus' intent: **the swords were not for violence.** The act of drawing one actually *violated* the spirit of what Jesus had just been teaching.

5. **The Misinterpretation**

   This moment has been **repeatedly weaponized** — often by groups arguing for armed self-defense or Christian militancy. You'll hear people say: "Jesus told his disciples to buy swords — therefore, Christians can carry weapons."

   But that interpretation ignores:

   - The **prophetic context** (Isaiah 53:12),
   - The **immediate rebuke** when violence was attempted, and
   - The **consistent teaching** of peace throughout his ministry.

   What I heard Fugelsang describe in his book "Separation of Church and Hate" is **accurate to the scholarly view**, even if his framing was a bit provocative.

6. **Why This Fits "When Scripture Debates Itself"**

   Tension: Jesus fulfills prophecy by appearing as one of the condemned, yet refuses to let violence define His kingdom. His words test whether followers can discern between *symbolic fulfillment* and *literal force.*

Did Jesus Ever Lose His Temper?

That's a highly-debated and nuanced question — one that pastors, artists, and scholars have debated for centuries. The "Jesus overturning the tables" story is one of the few moments where His righteous anger is vividly on display, and it opens the door to an important discussion: **what's the difference between losing one's temper and expressing righteous indignation?**

Let's unpack this carefully.

1. **The Cleansing of the Temple — What Actually Happened**

   The story appears in all four Gospels:

   - **Matthew 21:12–13**
   - **Mark 11:15–17**
   - **Luke 19:45–46**
   - **John 2:13–17**

The Synoptic Gospels (Matthew, Mark, and Luke) place it **near the end** of Jesus' ministry, just after His triumphal entry into Jerusalem. John, however, places it **early** in His ministry — possibly a different event, or John's theological framing.

The scene:

Jesus enters the Temple and finds money changers and merchants selling animals for sacrifice. These trades were not inherently wrong — they were necessary for Passover pilgrims — but they had become exploitative and corrupt, often sanctioned by the religious elite.

> *"He overturned the tables of the money changers and the benches of those selling doves, and would not allow anyone to carry merchandise through the temple courts."* — Mark 11:15–16

He then quotes Isaiah and Jeremiah:

> *"My house shall be called a house of prayer for all nations, but you have made it a den of robbers."* — Mark 11:17

2. **The "Whip" — Who Was It Used On?**

John 2:15 adds this detail:

> *"So, He made a whip out of cords, and drove all from the temple courts, both sheep and cattle; He scattered the coins of the money changers and overturned their tables."*

Here's the key detail many overlook:

- The whip was used to **drive out the animals**, not to strike people.
- The text never says He whipped anyone; in Greek, the phrasing applies to the livestock being driven out.
- Artists often dramatize it, but Scripture doesn't show Jesus physically attacking humans.

In Jewish Temple culture, animals were tethered or corralled in the outer courts — the "Court of the Gentiles." To clear the space, one would need to untie and drive them out, likely with the cords at hand — which is exactly what John describes.

So, **Jesus' action is symbolic**, not violent.

3. **Was Jesus Angry — and Did He "Lose His Temper"?**

Yes, Jesus was angry. But no, He didn't "lose His temper."

The distinction is **between anger that controls you** versus **anger controlled by purpose**. Jesus' actions were intentional, prophetic, and deeply tied to Scripture — echoing Jeremiah's condemnation of false worship and economic corruption in the Temple.

He wasn't reacting impulsively or lashing out. He was fulfilling prophecy (Malachi 3:1–3: *"The Lord will suddenly come to his temple… and purify the sons of Levi."*).

This was a **demonstration of divine authority** — not a loss of self-control.

4. **How Many Times Did Jesus Show Anger?**

The Gospels record **only a handful of times** when Jesus expresses anger or righteous indignation:

- **The Cleansing of the Temple** – *John 2:13–17; Mark 11:15–17*
  ➤ Anger at exploitation and spiritual corruption.
- **Healing the man with a withered hand on the Sabbath** – *Mark 3:1–6*
  ➤ "He looked around at them in anger, deeply distressed at their stubborn hearts."
  His anger here is compassion-driven — angry at hardness of heart, not at people themselves.
- **At Lazarus's tomb** – *John 11:33–38*
  ➤ The Greek word *embrimaomai* ("deeply moved") can also mean *"snorted with indignation"*.
  Some scholars interpret this as anger at death itself, or at the disbelief of those mourning.
- **Toward hypocrisy** – *Matthew 23 (the "woes" chapter)*
  ➤ Repeated denunciations of Pharisaic hypocrisy; stern, but not explosive.

All of these show Jesus was in control of his emotions, and in some ways, creating teaching moments.

5. So — Did Jesus Ever "Lose It"?

No. His anger was always **measured, moral, and purposeful**, not **personal, reactive, or uncontrolled**.

He never sinned in His anger (see *Ephesians 4:26*: "Be angry and do not sin"), which is exactly the difference between divine anger and human temper.

6. Why This Fits "When Scripture Debates Itself"

Tension: Jesus models *anger under control* — passion for justice, not personal revenge.